

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
6  
7 Plaintiff,  
8  
9 v.  
10 LUIS MARTIN-GUIDEL,  
11  
12 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:18-cr-00148-JCM-NJK


**ORDER**  
(Docket No. 49)

10 Pending before the Court is Defendant Luis Martin-Guidel's motion for court order  
11 allowing him to see an orthodontist. Docket No. 49. The motion was filed *ex parte* but provides  
12 no explanation as to why it needs to be filed as such. *Id.* The Court can discern no reason why  
13 the motion should remain *ex parte*.

14 Accordingly, the Court **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk's office to remove the *ex parte* designation  
15 to this motion and to serve it via CM/ECF on the assigned Assistant United States Attorney. The  
16 Court **ORDERS** that a response to the motion shall be filed no later than May 10, 2019, and any  
17 reply shall be filed no later than May 13, 2019.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: May 8, 2019.

20  
21   
22 NANCY J. KOPPE  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE